

IN RE: PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE  
SE/S Philadelphia Ave., 170'  
W of the c/l of Patapsco Ave.  
(225 Philadelphia Avenue),  
15th Election District  
7th Councilmanic District

\* \* \* \* \*

Joseph Lutz, et al  
Petitioner

\* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE THE  
DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER  
OF BALTIMORE COUNTY  
Case No. 90-125-A

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Petitioner herein requests a variance to permit a lot width of 50 feet in lieu of the minimum required 55' -t in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

The Petitioner appeared and testified. Appearing as Protestants in the matter were Carolyn L. Hege, Eva C. Bishop, Emil Chiavillo, and Clarence M. Erdman, all nearby residents of the area.

Testimony indicated that the subject property, known as 225 Philadelphia Avenue, consists of .26 acres zoned D.R. 5.5, and is currently unimproved. Said property consists of two lots known as Lots 57 and 58 of Chesapeake Park, both of which are 25 feet wide, and is located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas on North East Creek. Petitioner proposes to develop the subject property with a single family dwelling compatible with other homes in the neighborhood. Mr. Lutz testified that the majority of the lots are 50-foot wide lots which have been developed in accordance with the subdivision plan of Chesapeake Park which was implemented in 1911, and introduced a copy of the subdivision plat marked Petitioner's Exhibit 2.

Mr. Lutz testified he purchased four lots, namely Lots 57, 58, 60 and 61 in July, 1987 for purposes of investment. Testimony indicated that all four lots were deeded in one deed and described as two separate parcels.

Subsequently, he sold Lots 60 and 61 to Carolyn L. Hege and her husband

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING  
JULY 10 1987

ments. Mr. Lutz testified that he does not own any adjoining land to conform substantially to the lot width requirements. Testimony indicated adjoining Lot 56 is owned by Mr. Erdman and Lots 67, 68 and 69 were owned by Ms. Bishop. Subsequent to the hearing, Mr. Lutz submitted copies of the deeds to the adjoining properties to support his position that Petitioner does not own sufficient land to conform to the lot width requirements. Further, the documents indicate the lots were purchased by D & J Developers, Inc., a corporation formed by Mr. Lutz and his partner, David Seling, at the time of settlement on the subject property.

Ms. Hege testified in opposition to the relief requested, stating that at the time she purchased Lot 59 she did not understand the 25-foot access road adjacent to her property would be shared with Lots 57 and 58. Further, she was concerned as to the type and quality of house that would be built on Lots 57 and 58 which she contends will block her view of the water and depreciate the value of her home. Mr. Erdman, adjoining property owner of Lots 55, 56, 61 and 62, appeared to express his concern that any house built on the property should meet all front, side, and rear yard setback requirements. Ms. Bishop, owner of Lots 67, 68 and 69 which are diagonal to the subject property, testified she did not understand why Petitioner could build on the property as she had been told by someone in one of the County departments that waterfront property in that area was undevelopable.

2) That all other requirements of height and area regulations are complied with.

3) That the owner does not own sufficient adjoining land to conform substantially to the width and area requirements.

A review of the conditions in this instance would appear to indicate that the subject property meets the requirements.

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, in the opinion of the Zoning Commissioner, the relief requested sufficiently complies with the requirements of Sections 307.1, 307.2 and 500.14 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) and should therefore be granted. There is no evidence in the record that the subject variance would adversely affect the health, safety, and/or general welfare of the public. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioner.

The facts and evidence presented tend to establish that special conditions or circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land or structures located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas of Baltimore County; that to deny the relief requested would result in practical difficulty, unreasonable hardship, or severe economic hardship upon the Petitioner; and that strict compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area requirements and the B.C.Z.R. would deprive the Petitioner of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in similar areas within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas in Baltimore County. The granting of the relief requested will not confer upon the Petitioner any special privilege that would be denied by the critical area regulations to other lands or structures within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas. Clearly, the request is not based upon conditions or circumstances which are the result of the Petitioner's actions, nor does the request arise from a condition relating to land or

building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on another property. The relief requested is in harmony with the general spirit and intent of the Critical Areas legislation for Baltimore County and conforms to the requirements as set forth in Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R.

In accordance with Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R., the Director of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management has submitted recommendations which describe what steps the Petitioner must take to insure that the relief requested complies with the following Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas requirements to:

- 1) Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands;
- 2) Conserve fish, wildlife, and plant habitat;
- 3) Be consistent with established land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

These recommendations shall be attached hereto and become a permanent part of the decision rendered in this case. There is no evidence in the record that the relief requested would adversely affect the health, safety, and/or general welfare of the public provided there is compliance with the requirements of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management as more fully described below.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, and for the reasons given above, the relief requested should be granted, subject to compliance with the conditions hereinafter set forth.

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING  
Date \_\_\_\_\_  
By \_\_\_\_\_

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 20th day of February, 1990 that the Petition for Zoning Variance to permit a lot width of 50 feet in lieu of the minimum required 55 feet, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restrictions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted:

1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

2) The relief granted herein is conditioned upon Petitioner, or any subsequent owner of the property building a single family dwelling and any accessory structures in strict compliance with the zoning regulations and not requesting any further variances for the subject property.

3) Development of the property will comply with all County Building Code requirements; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petitioner shall comply fully and completely with all requirements and recommendations of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management, as set forth in their comments dated May 17, 1989, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

*A. M. Nastarowicz*  
ANN M. NASTAROWICZ,  
Deputy Zoning Commissioner  
for Baltimore County

ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING  
AMN:b:s  
By \_\_\_\_\_

- 5 -

CRITICAL AREA  
#575

# PETITION FOR ZONING VARIANCE

TO THE ZONING COMMISSIONER OF BALTIMORE COUNTY: 90-125-A

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section 1802.3.C.1.

To permit a 50' lot width in lieu of the minimum 55'.

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (indicate hardship or practical difficulty)

1) CONSIDERABLE amount of houses in area are on 50' lots  
2) NEED TO SELL LOT TO COVER EXPENSES FOR FINANCIAL DIFFICULTY

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law For Baltimore County.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(s) of the property which is the subject of this Petition.

MAP	N 8 Z F
4B	
1514	
DATE	2-8-71
200	PF
1000	PF
50	GP

Contract Purchaser:  
 (Type or Print Name)  
 Signature  
 Address  
 City and State  
 Attorney for Petitioner:  
 (Type or Print Name)  
 Signature  
 Address  
 City and State  
 Attorney's Telephone No.:  
 ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this 12 day of July, 1989, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation throughout Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore County, on the 6 day of Oct, 1989, at 9:30 o'clock.  
 J. Robert [Signature]

515

Phone: 687-6922

CRITICAL AREA

# FRANK S. LEE

*Registered Land Surveyor*

1277 NEIGHBORS AVE. — BALTIMORE, MD. 21237

May 25, 1981

Lots 57 and 58, Sheet 1, Plan "U", plat of Chesaco Park, 6/164  
15th District Baltimore County, Maryland

Beginning for the same at the northwest corner of lot 58 and the center line of a 25 foot road aslaid out and shown on Sheet 1, Plan "Q", Chesaco Park, said plat being recorded among the land records of Baltimore County in Plat Book 6 folio 164; thence running and binding on the northern outline of lots 57 and 58 in an easterly direction 50 feet, to the division line between lots 56 and 57, thence binding on said division line in a southerly direction 250 feet more or less to the waters of Northeast Creek, thence binding on the waters of Northeast Creek in a southwesterly direction 40 feet more or less to the eastern outline of lot 71, thence binding on the eastern and northern outline of lot 71 as follows: in a northerly direction 37 feet more or less and in a westerly direction 11.26 feet, thence binding on the western outline of lot 58 in a northerly direction 225 feet more or less to the place of beginning.

**D & J Developers, Inc.**  
CUSTOM HOME BUILDING & EXCAVATING

**RECEIVED**

JAN 26 1990

**ZONING OFFICE**

  
*"Your Dream Can Become A Reality"*

January 26, 1990

Zoning Commission  
Baltimore County

RE: 90-125A, Case No.

To Whom It May Concern:

It has been brought to my attention that when I filed the zoning variance, I filed the papers in the name of Joseph William Lutz, as an individual. However, when I received the deed yesterday, I was informed that the property is deeded under D & J Developers, Inc. David Seling and I have an equal share in D & J Developers, Inc.

I am sorry for causing an inconvenience to you due to this error in filing. I have supplied your office with the Articles of Incorporation for D & J Developers, Inc. If you have any further

that the petitioner shall comply fully and completely with all requirements and recommendations of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management, as set forth in their comments dated May 17, 1989, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

*A. M. Nastarowicz*

ANN M. NASTAROWICZ,  
Deputy Zoning Commissioner  
for Baltimore County

City and State		Signature	
Attorney for Petitioner:			
(Type or Print Name)			
Signature			
Address		Address	
City and State		Phone No.	
Attorney's Telephone No.:		Name	
Address		Phone No.	
<p>ORDERED By The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this <u>12</u> day  of <u>July</u>, 19<u>89</u>, that the subject matter of this petition be advertised, as  required by the Zoning Law of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general circulation through-  out Baltimore County, that property be posted, and that the public hearing be had before the Zoning  Commissioner of Baltimore County in Room 106, County Office Building in Towson, Baltimore  County, on the <u>6</u> day of <u>Augt</u>, 19<u>89</u>, at <u>9:30</u> o'clock  A.M.</p>			

<b><u>PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY</u></b>		<b><u>PETITIONER(S) SIGN-IN SHEET</u></b>	
<b><u>NAME</u></b>	<b><u>ADDRESS</u></b>		
<u>Joseph W. Lutz, Jr.</u>	<u>5409 Lutz Hill Rd</u>		
<u>Joseph W. Lutz, Jr.</u>			
<u>David Seling</u>			
<u>David Seling</u>			



Baltimore County  
Zoning Commissioner  
Office of Planning & Zoning  
Towson, Maryland 21204  
(301) 887-5253

Re: Petition for Zoning Variance  
CASE NUMBER: 90-125-A  
SE/S Philadelphia Avenue, 170' Sf of the c/l of Patapsco Avenue,  
SE/S of c/l of Philadelphia Avenue  
25 Philadelphia Avenue - 15th Election District - 7th Councilwards  
HEARING SCHEDULED: FRIDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1989 at 9:30 a.m.  
DO NOT REMOVE THE SIGN & POST SET(S) FROM THE PROPERTY  
UNTIL THE DAY OF THE HEARING

Please be advised that \$163.00 is due for advertising and posting of the above captioned property.

THIS FEE MUST BE PAID TO THE ZONING SIGN & POST SET(S)  
RETURNED ON THE DAY OF THE HEARING OR THE ORDER SHALL NOT ISSUE.  
DO NOT REMOVE THE SIGN & POST SET(S) FROM THE PROPERTY  
UNTIL THE DAY OF THE HEARING

Please make your check payable to Baltimore County, Maryland. Bring the check and the sign & post set(s) to the Zoning Office, County Office Building, 111 M. Chesepeake Avenue, Room 113, Towson, Maryland Fifteen (15) minutes before your hearing is scheduled to begin.

Upon receipt of the above captioned property, a post set(s), there will be a charge of \$1.00 per post set(s) for each such set.

**BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND**  
OFFICE OF FINANCE, REVENUE DIVISION  
MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

10/6/89 ACCOUNT #077316  
AMOUNT \$163.00  
RECEIVED FROM  
Joseph Lutz  
P.O. Box 1011589, 90-125-A  
10/6/89  
VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CARRIER

Baltimore County  
Zoning Commissioner  
Office of Planning & Zoning  
Towson, Maryland 21204-5253  
(301) 887-5253

August 16, 1989

**NOTICE OF HEARING**

Dennis P. Rasmussen  
County Executive

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 103 of the County Office Building, located at 111 M. Chesepeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland as follows:

Petition for Zoning Variance  
CASE NUMBER: 90-125-A  
SE/S Philadelphia Avenue, 170' Sf of the c/l of Patapsco Avenue, 50' Sf of c/l of Philadelphia Avenue  
25 Philadelphia Avenue - 15th Election District - 7th Councilwards  
Petitioner(s): Joseph Lutz  
HEARING SCHEDULED: FRIDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1989 at 9:30 a.m.

Variance to permit a 50' lot width in lieu of the minimum 55'.

J. Robert Haines

J. ROBERT HAINES  
ZONING COMMISSIONER  
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

cc: Joseph Lutz  
File

RECEIVED  
AUG 3 1989  
TO: Mr. J. Robert Haines  
Zoning Commissioner  
FROM: Pat Keller, Deputy Director  
Office of Planning and Zoning  
SUBJECT: Petition for Zoning Variance  
DATE: September 19, 1989

The petitioner requests a variance to permit a 50' lot width in lieu of the minimum 55'.

In reference to this request, staff offers the following comments:

1. What is the impact on adjoining property? and,
2. Are adequate front, side, and rear yard setbacks being maintained on the site? and,
3. Will the reduced lot sizes result in requests for additional variances when subsequent lot owners try to build unsuitable homes on the lots or expand homes into required areas?

Based upon the information provided and analysis conducted, staff recommends that if the request is granted, the following conditions be attached:

- All building setback lines (front, side, rear) shall be shown on the site plan and recorded by the applicant on the deed of record. Furthermore, a statement shall be attached to the site plan which clearly states that all development shall conform to the setback as shown and additional variance shall not be granted for setbacks on the subject property.
- Any applications for building permits shall include a copy of the site plan and the Commissioner's "Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law", provided by the applicant.

If there should be any further questions or if this office can provide additional information, please contact Jeffrey Long in the Office of Planning at 887-5253.

PK/JL/pat

Rec'd Lab: Contracts - 10/1/89

002000

RECEIVED  
JULY 31, 1989  
TO: Mr. J. Robert Haines  
Zoning Commissioner  
County Office Building  
Towson, MD 21204

Mr. J. Robert Haines  
Zoning Commissioner  
County Office Building  
Towson, MD 21204

Dennis P. Rasmussen  
County Executive

The Bureau of Traffic Engineering has no comments for items number 515, 574, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, and 582.

Very truly yours,  
*Michael S. Flanagan*  
Michael S. Flanagan  
Traffic Engineer Associate II

Baltimore County  
Fire Department  
840 York Road  
Towson, Maryland 21204-2586  
(301) 887-4750

Paul H. Reicks  
July 19, 1989

Re: Property Owner: JOSEPH LUTZ  
Location: SE/S OF PHILADELPHIA ROAD  
Item No.: #575  
Zoning Agenda: JULY 11, 1989

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the findings below are applicable and required to be reflected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code", 1988 edition prior to occupancy.

REVIEWER: *Curtis J. Kelly 7-21-89* Approved  
Planning Group  
Special Inspection Division  
JK/KR

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND  
INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Mr. J. Robert Haines  
Zoning Commissioner  
FROM: Mr. Robert W. Sheeley  
SUBJECT: Lutz Property - Item #343 & 675  
Philadelphia Avenue  
Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Findings  
RECEIVED  
TAXING OFFICE  
MAY 23, 1989

**SITE LOCATION**

The subject property is located on Philadelphia Avenue in Chesaco Park. The site is within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area and is classified as a Limited Development Area (LDA).

**APPLICANT'S NAME** Joseph M. Lutz Jr.

**APPLICANT PROPOSAL**

The applicant proposes a 1056 square foot single family dwelling on the site. The applicant is requesting a Zoning Variance from Section 1 B02.3.B of the 1981 Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, "to permit a lot width of 50 feet in lieu of the minimum 55 feet."

**GOALS OF THE CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA PROGRAM**

In accordance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Program, all project approvals shall be based on a findings which assures that proposed projects are consistent with the following goals of the Critical Area Plan:

1. Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have runoff from surrounding lands;
2. Conserve fish, wildlife and plant habitat; and
3. Establish land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of people in the area can create adverse environmental impacts." (COMAR 14.15.10.01.D)

*Mailed 5/24/89*

RECEIVED  
MAY 17, 1989  
Page 2

**REGULATIONS AND FINDINGS**

1. Regulation: "The sum of all man-made impervious areas shall not exceed 15% of the lot." (COMAR 14.15.02.04.C.(7)).  
Finding: The proposed house will not increase the sum of impervious areas to exceed 15% of the lot.
2. Regulation: "Infiltration of storm water shall be maximized throughout the site, rather than directing the flow to single discharge points." (Baltimore County Code, Sec. 22-217(a)(2)).  
Finding:

- a. Storm water runoff shall be directed from impervious surfaces associated with this petition to pervious areas to encourage maximum infiltration.
- b. Rooftop runoff shall be directed through down-spouts and into a seepage pit or Dutch drain to encourage maximum infiltration (see attached information).
- c. One major deciduous tree or two conifer trees shall be planted and maintained on the lot. A combination of these two tree types is acceptable provided that 1,000 square feet of tree cover is provided. A major deciduous tree is estimated to cover 1,000 square feet and a conifer, 500 square feet at maturity.

Trees are an important factor in improving water quality. The roots of trees greatly improve the infiltration rate of storm water and efficiently remove nitrogen from subsurface flows of groundwater. Trees also act as both a barrier and a sponge, blocking and absorbing eroding soils and the phosphorous associated with them.

3. Regulation: "A minimum 100 foot buffer shall be established landward from the mean-high water line of tidal waters, tidal wetlands, and tributary streams." (Baltimore County Code Sec. 22-213(e)).  
Finding: This lot is not on the waterfront and therefore the above regulation is not applicable.

RWS:MS:jw  
Attachment

cc: The Honorable Ronald E. Hickernell  
The Honorable Norman E. Leiberman  
The Honorable Dale R. Volz

RECEIVED  
MAY 17, 1989  
Page 3

4. Regulation: "A minimum 25 foot buffer shall be maintained around all non-tidal wetlands so that development or other activities will not adversely affect the wetlands or the wildlife contained therein" (Baltimore County Code Sec. 22-212(a)).  
Finding: No tidal or non-tidal wetlands were found on this site or in the surrounding area of the site.

Upon compliance with the above requirements, this project will be approved. Please contact Mr. David C. Flowers at 887-3980 if you require additional information.

*Robert W. Sheeley*  
Robert W. Sheeley, Director  
Department of Environmental Protection  
and Resource Management

